

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FOAMS
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2873

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –149)

On December 7, 2018, the Panel transferred 75 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 357 F.Supp.3d 1391 (J.P.M.L. 2018). Since that time, 461 additional action(s) have been transferred to the District of South Carolina. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Richard M. Gergel.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of South Carolina and assigned to Judge Gergel.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of South Carolina for the reasons stated in the order of December 7, 2018, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Richard M. Gergel.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

Tiffany D. Pete
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FOAMS
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2873

SCHEDULE CTO-149 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ILLINOIS NORTHERN			
ILN	1	23-05205	Klass et al v. 3M Company et al
MASSACHUSETTS			
MA	1	23-11675	Town of Kingston v. The 3M Company et al
MA	1	23-11677	Town of Wrentham v. The 3M Company et al
NEW JERSEY			
NJ	1	23-04069	TOWNSHIP OF FLORENCE v. 3M COMPANY et al
NJ	2	23-04066	EISELE et al v. 3M COMPANY et al
NJ	3	23-04067	TOWN OF CLINTON v. 3M COMPANY et al
NEW YORK NORTHERN			
NYN	8	23-00932	Town of Plattsburgh v. The 3M Company et al
NEW YORK SOUTHERN			
NYS	7	23-06527	City of Mackinac Island v. 3M Company (f/k/a Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing, Co) et al
NYS	7	23-06547	Village of Maybrook v. The 3M Company et al
NYS	7	23-06582	City of Swanville v. The 3M Company et al
NYS	7	23-06609	Brunswick Regional Water and Sewer H2GO v. The 3M Company et al
NYS	7	23-06689	Willingboro Municipal Utilities Authority v. The 3M Company (f/k/a Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing, Co) et al
NYS	7	23-06722	City of Kannapolis v. The 3M Company et al
NYS	7	23-06726	City of Robbinsdale v. The 3M Company et al
NYS	7	23-06766	City of Sheffield v. The 3M Company et al
NYS	7	23-06770	Village of Beverly v. The 3M Company et al
NYS	7	23-06825	City of Waite Park v. The 3M Company et al
NYS	7	23-06835	City of Plainview v. The 3M Company et al
NYS	7	23-06865	City of Maysville Utility Department v. The 3M Company et al
NYS	7	23-06869	Adams Waterworks v. The 3M Company et al
NYS	7	23-06938	And-Tro Water Authority v. The 3M Company et al
NYS	7	23-07002	

NYS	7	23-07039	Bearcreek Municipal Water System v. The 3M Company et al Colfax Water Supply v. The 3M Company et al
NEW YORK WESTERN			
NYW	1	23-00791	Village of Westfield v. The 3M Company et al
NYW	1	23-00792	Country Village Mobile v. The 3M Company et al
NYW	6	23-06442	Town of Palmyra v. The 3M Company et al
NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN			
NCE	5	23-00434	City of Goldsboro v. 3M Company et al
NORTH CAROLINA MIDDLE			
NCM	1	23-00667	CITY OF HAMLET v. 3M COMPANY et al
TEXAS NORTHERN			
TXN	4	23-00838	The State of Texas v. 3M Company et al
WISCONSIN EASTERN			
WIE	2	23-01025	Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District v. 3M Company et al